

Years before he became king of England, both in parliament and among the clergy there were public calls for a common, more accurate, agreeable translation for all the nation's churches to use. King James was not even involved in the hands-on work of the translating the sacred texts. Around 50 of the best literary scholars at the time were commissioned to perform this task. Their honesty and humility are described in the very preface of the 1611 Bible:

"Truly, good Christian Reader, we never thought from the beginning that we should need to make a new translation, nor yet to make of a bad one a good one...but to make a good one better, or out of many good ones one principal good one, not justly to be excepted against; that hath been our endeavour, that our mark. To that purpose there were many chosen, that were greater in other men's eyes than in their own, and that sought the truth rather than their own praise."

As for the character of King James, some have made defamatory claims. But we may ask, is it responsible to take the speculations of others, while never hearing the testimony of the very person in question? Well, we have the works of King James on facsimile, published while he was still alive. Now, centuries later, critics have sought to brand him as homosexual and effeminate. Let us now see what was his first-hand testimony on such themes. From the book, *The Works of the Most High and Mighty Prince, James (1616)*, we quote:

"...so is there some horrible crimes that ye are bound in conscience never to forgive: such as witchcraft, wilful murder, incest, sodomy and false coin."- pg.157

"But especially eschew to be effeminate in your clothes, in perfuming, preening.. And make not a fool of yourself in disguising or wearing long hair or nails, which are but excrescements of nature..."-pg.183

"When ye are married, keep inviolably your promise made to God..to treat her in all things as your wife, and the half of your self; and to make your body (which then is no more yours, but properly hers) common with none other."-pg.172

Friend, from the recorded facts of history and today's publications, we have shown the aforementioned objections to the Bible to be little more than myths. And if you would do further sincere research, the Bible would be proven true even more pronouncedly. What does all this mean? **This means, even just for the sake of fairness, you ought to give the Bible a hearing. Are you willing to be fair, friend? Are you willing to be honest in light of the facts? Then give this Book a suitable, sincere read.** Not sure where to start? **The gospel of John can be a good place.**

We pray you may give the Bible, God's Word, a saving chance in your life. James 1:21>>
 21>Wherefore lay apart all filthiness and superfluity of naughtiness, and receive with meekness the engrafted word, which is able to save your souls.

May God bless you...



CAN YOU TRUST THE BIBLE? (PART I)

What are the **FACTS** and what are the **MYTHS** about this age-surviving Book?

❖ **THE BIBLE WAS PRODUCED BY THE HAND OF MEN. HOW CAN I TRUST MY LIFE WITH A BOOK WRITTEN BY MERE FLESH & BLOOD AS MYSELF?**

ALL books which have ever been written have been written by mankind. Why? The written page has been the most effective means of communicating ideas among humans. If you don't trust a book because it was written by men then don't read and follow *any* book whatsoever. Will you do this? Nevertheless, the Bible is more significant than other books because God directly inspired the authors to write what they wrote: **2Peter 1:20-21>>** ²⁰Knowing this first that no prophecy of the scripture is of any private interpretation. ²¹For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.

Furthermore, consider this thought. Will you distrust the laws of the land, because they were written by men? How about the traffic light system? The elevator? The heavy-duty machine manual? Food for public consumption which we know nothing of its origin or production? That so-called disease-curing, life-saving, doctor-prescribed medication? ALL of these have been produced by uninspired men, yet we put *blind faith* in these things. Why should not the Bible, purporting divine origin, be given an equal opportunity for your trust?

❖ **PEOPLE SAY MEN CHANGED THE BIBLE OVER TIME FOR THEIR OWN AGENDA. HOW CAN I KNOW IF WHAT EXISTS NOW IS THE TRUE, ORIGINAL RECORD?**

Comparatively, let us say that you had an interest in a potential marriage partner, by reason of the early qualities you saw in them. A naysayer then tells you, "Watch out! You don't know who he/she really is deep down - you don't know their past." Is the naysayer's reason good advice to dismiss your interest completely? Or should you acquaint more with the interest themselves to learn their true character - to learn their past? And even if their past was bad, what if they are now changed? You can only know by personal interaction. **Will you believe an ignorant naysayer above the very one who is the subject of your interest? Same with the Bible.** What does the Bible say *to you* today? Does it encourage *you* and enrich *you*? Does it reveal the love of God *to you*? Find out for yourself! Then you will be able to trace whether it is the true element, or the corrupted figment of men. **But you**

Years before he became king of England, both in parliament and among the clergy there were public calls for a common, more accurate, agreeable translation for all the nation's churches to use. King James was not even involved in the hands-on work of the translating the sacred texts. Around 50 of the best literary scholars at the time were commissioned to perform this task. Their honesty and humility are described in the very preface of the 1611 Bible:

"Truly, good Christian Reader, we never thought from the beginning that we should need to make a new translation, nor yet to make of a bad one a good one...but to make a good one better, or out of many good ones one principal good one, not justly to be excepted against; that hath been our endeavour, that our mark. To that purpose there were many chosen, that were greater in other men's eyes than in their own, and that sought the truth rather than their own praise."

As for the character of King James, some have made defamatory claims. But we may ask, is it responsible to take the speculations of others, while never hearing the testimony of the very person in question? Well, we have the works of King James on facsimile, published while he was still alive. Now, centuries later, critics have sought to brand him as homosexual and effeminate. Let us now see what was his first-hand testimony on such themes. From the book, *The Works of the Most High and Mighty Prince, James (1616)*, we quote:

"...so is there some horrible crimes that ye are bound in conscience never to forgive: such as witchcraft, wilful murder, incest, sodomy and false coin."- pg.157

"But especially eschew to be effeminate in your clothes, in perfuming, preening.. And make not a fool of yourself in disguising or wearing long hair or nails, which are but excrescements of nature..."-pg.183

"When ye are married, keep inviolably your promise made to God..to treat her in all things as your wife, and the half of your self; and to make your body (which then is no more yours, but properly hers) common with none other."-pg.172

Friend, from the recorded facts of history and today's publications, we have shown the aforementioned objections to the Bible to be little more than myths. And if you would do further sincere research, the Bible would be proven true even more pronouncedly. What does all this mean? **This means, even just for the sake of fairness, you ought to give the Bible a hearing. Are you willing to be fair, friend? Are you willing to be honest in light of the facts? Then give this Book a suitable, sincere read.** Not sure where to start? **The gospel of John can be a good place.**

We pray you may give the Bible, God's Word, a saving chance in your life. James 1:21>>
 21>Wherefore lay apart all filthiness and superfluity of naughtiness, and receive with meekness the engrafted word, which is able to save your souls.

May God bless you...



CAN YOU TRUST THE BIBLE? (PART I)

What are the **FACTS** and what are the **MYTHS** about this age-surviving Book?

❖ **THE BIBLE WAS PRODUCED BY THE HAND OF MEN. HOW CAN I TRUST MY LIFE WITH A BOOK WRITTEN BY MERE FLESH & BLOOD AS MYSELF?**

ALL books which have ever been written have been written by mankind. Why? The written page has been the most effective means of communicating ideas among humans. If you don't trust a book because it was written by men then don't read and follow *any* book whatsoever. Will you do this? Nevertheless, the Bible is more significant than other books because God directly inspired the authors to write what they wrote: **2Peter 1:20-21>>** ²⁰Knowing this first that no prophecy of the scripture is of any private interpretation. ²¹For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.

Furthermore, consider this thought. Will you distrust the laws of the land, because they were written by men? How about the traffic light system? The elevator? The heavy-duty machine manual? Food for public consumption which we know nothing of its origin or production? That so-called disease-curing, life-saving, doctor-prescribed medication? ALL of these have been produced by uninspired men, yet we put *blind faith* in these things. Why should not the Bible, purporting divine origin, be given an equal opportunity for your trust?

❖ **PEOPLE SAY MEN CHANGED THE BIBLE OVER TIME FOR THEIR OWN AGENDA. HOW CAN I KNOW IF WHAT EXISTS NOW IS THE TRUE, ORIGINAL RECORD?**

Comparatively, let us say that you had an interest in a potential marriage partner, by reason of the early qualities you saw in them. A naysayer then tells you, "Watch out! You don't know who he/she really is deep down - you don't know their past." Is the naysayer's reason good advice to dismiss your interest completely? Or should you acquaint more with the interest themselves to learn their true character - to learn their past? And even if their past was bad, what if they are now changed? You can only know by personal interaction. **Will you believe an ignorant naysayer above the very one who is the subject of your interest? Same with the Bible.** What does the Bible say *to you* today? Does it encourage *you* and enrich *you*? Does it reveal the love of God *to you*? Find out for yourself! Then you will be able to trace whether it is the true element, or the corrupted figment of men. **But you**

cannot judge its authenticity before you have even picked it up and given it a fair read. **Psalm 34:8>>**

“O taste and see that the LORD is good: blessed is the man that trusteth in him.

By the way, archaeologists have discovered thousands of ancient manuscripts containing the books of the Bible. **No other piece of literature has more historical copies of evidence than the Bible.** They estimate the earliest surviving Old Testament manuscript to date between 250BC & 68AD.

(<http://www.biblicalarchaeology.org/daily/biblical-topics/hebrew-bible/what-is-the-oldest-hebrew-bible/>)

They have also found numerous New Testament manuscripts, the earliest of which they estimate at 100AD, **within just 20-25 years of what was likely the original writing.** (<http://www.bible.ca/ef/topical-the-earliest-new-testament-manuscripts.htm>)

The significant and striking thing is that the Bible we have today differs very little from the earliest known manuscripts! Truthfully, the Bible is the most consistent, age-enduring piece of literature ever produced! And science backs it up! So how is it that we try to doubt it, but accept the authenticity of the writings of the Greeks, Egyptians and other ancient religions? Fairness demands of you also to accept the authenticity of the Bible – even the one we have today!

✧ **THE BIBLE IS OUTDATED. NOBODY NEEDS OR USES THE BIBLE IN THESE MODERN TIMES. HOW CAN IT BE RELEVANT TO ME, TO ANYONE?**

Really? Is the Bible so obsolete and irrelevant today, or is this idea really *fake news* spread by Bible critics? Let some official publications and personalities tell you the truth...

Guinness World Records:

(<http://www.guinnessworldrecords.com/world-records/best-selling-book-of-non-fiction/>)>> “Although it is impossible to obtain exact figures, there is little doubt that the Bible is the world’s best-selling and most widely distributed book. A survey by the Bible Society concluded that around 2.5 billion copies were printed between 1815 and 1975, but more recent estimates put the number at more than 5 billion.”

“By the end of 1995, combined global sales of *Today’s English Version (Good News) New Testament and Bible* (copyright for which is held by the Bible Societies) exceeded 17.75 million copies, and the whole Bible had been translated into 349 languages; 2,123 languages have at least one book of the Bible in that language.”

Washington Times:

(<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2010/dec/29/king-james-bibles-400-year-reign/>)>> “...Thomas Nelson Publishers...sold 329,000 printed copies of the King James Bible between July 2009 and July 2010.”

“Even noted atheist Richard Dawkins has praise for the volume: “You can’t appreciate English literature unless you are to some extent steeped in the King James Bible. There are phrases that come from it — people don’t realize they come from it — proverbial phrases, phrases that make echoes in people’s minds...”

Ronald Reagan, 40th U.S. President, *Newsweek*, December 27, 1982:

“Indeed, it is an incontrovertible fact that all the complex and horrendous questions confronting us at home and worldwide have their answer in that single book [King James Bible].”

Melvyn Bragg, British Broadcaster/Author: (<https://www.kingjamesbibleonline.org/King-James-Bible-Anniversary/>)>> “There is no doubt in my mind that the King James Bible not Shakespeare set this language on its path to become a universal language on a scale unprecedented before or since.”

Friend, **if we wish to throw out the Bible, we shall need to throw out the majority of what has made the world as civilized as it could ever have been.** Morality, language, communication, culture, history would likewise degenerate. The extremes of anarchy and despotism would take hold one way or another. Despite today’s critics, the Bible is still read and cherished, published and purchased by the millions. It is still relevant to world, be they Christian or not. And my friend, it is still relevant *for you.* **1Peter 1:24-25>>** ²⁴For all flesh is as grass, and all the glory of man as the flower of grass. The grass withereth, and the flower thereof falleth away: ²⁵But the word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you.

✧ **PEOPLE SAY KING JAMES I OF ENGLAND WAS A VILE MAN WHO IMPOSED HIS OWN VERSION OF THE BIBLE. IF SO, HOW CAN WE USE THIS VERSION, STILL TODAY’S MOST POPULAR TRANSLATION?**

Firstly, what we call the King James Version of the Bible was never named after King James. It was never *his* Bible. The title of the original 1611 English Bible reads thus: **“The Holy Bible, Containing the Old Testament and the New, Newly Translated out of the Original Tongues. And with the Former Translations diligently Compared and Revised by His Majesty’s Special Commandment. Appointed to be Read in Churches.”**



King James was simply the reigning monarch who officially commissioned the translation. Because he was the king, his figurehead over time became attached to that signature work he commissioned. Hence to designate this translation from others, the term *King James Bible* became popular. Similar literary examples include *Victorian England*, and the *Napoleonic era*.

cannot judge its authenticity before you have even picked it up and given it a fair read. **Psalm 34:8>>**

“O taste and see that the LORD is good: blessed is the man that trusteth in him.

By the way, archaeologists have discovered thousands of ancient manuscripts containing the books of the Bible. **No other piece of literature has more historical copies of evidence than the Bible.** They estimate the earliest surviving Old Testament manuscript to date between 250BC & 68AD.

(<http://www.biblicalarchaeology.org/daily/biblical-topics/hebrew-bible/what-is-the-oldest-hebrew-bible/>)

They have also found numerous New Testament manuscripts, the earliest of which they estimate at 100AD, **within just 20-25 years of what was likely the original writing.** (<http://www.bible.ca/ef/topical-the-earliest-new-testament-manuscripts.htm>)

The significant and striking thing is that the Bible we have today differs very little from the earliest known manuscripts! Truthfully, the Bible is the most consistent, age-enduring piece of literature ever produced! And science backs it up! So how is it that we try to doubt it, but accept the authenticity of the writings of the Greeks, Egyptians and other ancient religions? Fairness demands of you also to accept the authenticity of the Bible – even the one we have today!

✧ **THE BIBLE IS OUTDATED. NOBODY NEEDS OR USES THE BIBLE IN THESE MODERN TIMES. HOW CAN IT BE RELEVANT TO ME, TO ANYONE?**

Really? Is the Bible so obsolete and irrelevant today, or is this idea really *fake news* spread by Bible critics? Let some official publications and personalities tell you the truth...

Guinness World Records:

(<http://www.guinnessworldrecords.com/world-records/best-selling-book-of-non-fiction/>)>> “Although it is impossible to obtain exact figures, there is little doubt that the Bible is the world’s best-selling and most widely distributed book. A survey by the Bible Society concluded that around 2.5 billion copies were printed between 1815 and 1975, but more recent estimates put the number at more than 5 billion.”

“By the end of 1995, combined global sales of *Today’s English Version (Good News) New Testament and Bible* (copyright for which is held by the Bible Societies) exceeded 17.75 million copies, and the whole Bible had been translated into 349 languages; 2,123 languages have at least one book of the Bible in that language.”

Washington Times:

(<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2010/dec/29/king-james-bibles-400-year-reign/>)>> “...Thomas Nelson Publishers...sold 329,000 printed copies of the King James Bible between July 2009 and July 2010.”

“Even noted atheist Richard Dawkins has praise for the volume: “You can’t appreciate English literature unless you are to some extent steeped in the King James Bible. There are phrases that come from it — people don’t realize they come from it — proverbial phrases, phrases that make echoes in people’s minds...”

Ronald Reagan, 40th U.S. President, *Newsweek*, December 27, 1982:

“Indeed, it is an incontrovertible fact that all the complex and horrendous questions confronting us at home and worldwide have their answer in that single book [King James Bible].”

Melvyn Bragg, British Broadcaster/Author: (<https://www.kingjamesbibleonline.org/King-James-Bible-Anniversary/>)>> “There is no doubt in my mind that the King James Bible not Shakespeare set this language on its path to become a universal language on a scale unprecedented before or since.”

Friend, **if we wish to throw out the Bible, we shall need to throw out the majority of what has made the world as civilized as it could ever have been.** Morality, language, communication, culture, history would likewise degenerate. The extremes of anarchy and despotism would take hold one way or another. Despite today’s critics, the Bible is still read and cherished, published and purchased by the millions. It is still relevant to world, be they Christian or not. And my friend, it is still relevant *for you.* **1Peter 1:24-25>>** ²⁴For all flesh is as grass, and all the glory of man as the flower of grass. The grass withereth, and the flower thereof falleth away: ²⁵But the word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you.

✧ **PEOPLE SAY KING JAMES I OF ENGLAND WAS A VILE MAN WHO IMPOSED HIS OWN VERSION OF THE BIBLE. IF SO, HOW CAN WE USE THIS VERSION, STILL TODAY’S MOST POPULAR TRANSLATION?**

Firstly, what we call the King James Version of the Bible was never named after King James. It was never *his* Bible. The title of the original 1611 English Bible reads thus: **“The Holy Bible, Containing the Old Testament and the New, Newly Translated out of the Original Tongues. And with the Former Translations diligently Compared and Revised by His Majesty’s Special Commandment. Appointed to be Read in Churches.”**



King James was simply the reigning monarch who officially commissioned the translation. Because he was the king, his figurehead over time became attached to that signature work he commissioned. Hence to designate this translation from others, the term *King James Bible* became popular. Similar literary examples include *Victorian England*, and the *Napoleonic era*.